



## Syntax

No changes were made to the syntax, meaning that ellipsis were not completed and word order was not changed. The following example is perfectly grammatical Swiss German dialect ('have you told it to him?'), but in Standard German, the word order is different and the subject must be realised:

Swiss German dialect: *Häsch ems gseit?*

<i>Häsch</i>	∅	<i>em</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>gseit</i>
<i>Hast</i>	<i>du</i>	<i>es</i>	<i>ihm</i>	<i>gesagt</i>

Standard German: *Hast du es ihm gesagt?*

This example thus is normalised as *Hast ihm es gesagt?*

## Non lexical elements

Elements that do not represent words (i.e. emoticons, punctuation) are taken into the normalized layer as they are, but they are annotated as

```
<emo>; - )</emo>
<pun>!</pun>
etc.
```

in a special layer. This annotation was performed automatically where ever possible and then corrected by the student assistants.

## Elements that cannot be identified

In SMS texts, you find lots of words that are not understandable, because they represent family-lects, they are interjections or tokens in unknown languages or abbreviations that cannot be identified (e.g. *tkdn*). These elements were left as they appeared, i.e. they were taken over into the normalised layer in their original form.

## Foreign material

If tokens come from a foreign language that can be expected as known (i.e. Western European language) the spelling was adjusted to the original spelling where possible. If this token appeared in an inflected form, the inflection was added to the corrected spelling.

```
kuul --> cool
en kuuli idee --> eine coole Idee
```

## One to many or many to one

As has been said above, some tokens from the SMS layer had to be pulled together to present only one token in the normalised layer (e.g. [pomme] [de] [terre] -> [pomme de terre]), while others had to be taken apart ([hani] -> [han] [i]). These steps were noted down by our student assistants and executed by the computational linguists.

## Reconstructions and interpretations

We decided not to reconstruct or interpret language where it is not clearly recognizable. This goes especially for the case system in the Swiss German dialect and thus we use an according example here. In Standard German, at least in the masculine form, a nominative can be distinguished from an accusative based on the morphology (cf. *den Mann* vs *der Mann* in the following example). In the Swiss German dialect, on the other hand, this distinction is not visible (cf. *dr Maa* in both situations in the following example). Now, do the Swiss in fact use a nominative as an object or is this just an accusative that is homophone to the nominative? We don't know and - more importantly - we do not want to interpret. Accordingly, we decided to leave the noun and the article in the (standardized to *der Mann*) nominative in this situation.

The man as an object, syntactic case is accusative						
Standard	ich	sehe	den	Mann		
Dialect	I	gseh	dr	Maa		
Dialect			Dr	Maa	gaat	wäg
Standard			Der	Mann	geht	weg
The man as a subject, syntactic case is a nominative						
	I	see	the	man	goes	away

In a parallel approach, we do not reconstruct or interpret language in other situations or languages neither.

## Capitalisation

In German, nouns are spelled with a starting upper case letter. Independent of the capitalization in the SMS layer, nouns are in upper case in the normalized layer in an attempt to support a PoS tagger in recognizing nouns.

## Spelling

Assuming that spelling is unorthodox in SMS all over, we decided to adjust spelling to what is found in a dictionary for a lemma on an according syntactical position. In German, e.g., there is an definite neutral article *das* and the conjunction *dass* (e.g. *er sagte, dass er komme* ('he said **that** he would come')). Irrespective of the spelling used in the SMS, we applied *das* for an article and *dass* for a conjunction. The same rule was applied for other homophonous words, too.

## Abbreviations

When abbreviations are used in SMS, three different situations can be distinguished:

- The abbreviation cannot be decoded, eg. *tkdn*. In this case, the abbreviation is taken over from the SMS layer into the normalized layer as is and it is marked as an abbreviation.
- The abbreviation can be decoded, e.g. *cu* for *see you*. In this case, the abbreviation is decomposed in the normalized layer, e.g. *cu* becomes *see you*. This type of abbreviation is kept in the language, in which it is abbreviated, ie. *see you* is used in English even in otherwise German or French SMS.
- Abbreviations that stand for a brand or other type of name (e.g. *IBM*) were kept as they are.

## Digits

Digits were not modified, i.e. *3* remained *3* and *three* remained *three*. There is, however, one exception to this rule. Where digits were combined with letters, they were written out in the normalization, thus, *4tel* became *Viertel* ('quarter').

## Special rules for Swiss German dialect

### Helvetisms

Helvetisms, i.e. lemmas that belong to Standard German in Switzerland according to the [Variantenwörterbuch](#) were taken over into the normalized layer in their standardized spelling, even though they might not be understandable to a reader from Northern Germany.

### No equivalent in standard German

Some words in Swiss German dialect do not have equivalents in Standard German, e.g. *luege* ('to look') or *gumpe* ('to jump'). Where ever possible, we used lemmas with a similar sound to replace these expressions in the normalized level, provided the semantics are somehow similar. Following this idea, *luege* was transcribed as *lugen* (according to the Duden: "rural for *to pry* "). Where this type of approach was not possible, we normalized to the Standard lemma that is closest in its meaning, e.g. *gumpe* became *springen*.

A special situation in this context is a verbal particle that can be realized as *go*, *ga*, *goge* and similar forms. This particle is syntactically compulsory in the dialect but has no equivalent in Standard German and is semantically empty. We decided to normalize this particle to *go* and to take it over into the normalized layer in this form.

### Prepositions

Quite regularly, the Swiss German dialect does not use the same prepositions as Standard German. In this case, we used the same preposition in the normalized layer as in the SMS layer (albeit adjusted in

spelling where needed). E.g. *i gane uf Bärn* ('I go to Bern'), which should be *ich gehe nach Bern* in Standard German became *ich gehe auf Bern*.

## Diminutives

In Standard German a diminutive is normally realized as *-chen*, while the dialect only know a diminutive in *-li*. For some lemmas and in some (older) variants of German, a *-lein* diminutive exist(ed). Accordingly, we decided to apply this *-lein* form whenever a diminutive was used in the SMS. E.g. *s'chindli* ('the little child') became *das Kindlein* even though it sounds slightly archaic.

## Imperatives

In Standard German, the verb of an imperative can take a short or a long form: *schlaf gut* vs. *schlafe gut*. For the dialect, this is not the case, there is only a short form. Accordingly, the normalization always uses the short normalized form.

## Special rules for other languages

You find more information for languages other than German in the documentations written in the original language for

and [French](#)  
and [Italian](#)  
and written in German for [Romansh](#)  
.

From:

<https://sms.linguistik.uzh.ch/> -

Permanent link:

[https://sms.linguistik.uzh.ch/03\\_processing/05\\_normalization](https://sms.linguistik.uzh.ch/03_processing/05_normalization)

Last update: **2022/06/27 07:21**

